

Risk of antimicrobial resistance spreading via food loss and waste

Fanette FONTAINE, PhD FAO AMR team NSA-CJW



Outline

Narrative review (about 150 references)

- Food loss and waste- definition and numbers
- AMR in Food loss and waste?
- Food waste management processes and AMR
 - Feed and pet food
 - Aerobic fermentation
 - Anaerobic digestion
 - Landfill
- Gaps and limitations
- Conclusion- Discussion

FLW and AMR- Expert's consultation



Food Loss and Waste

- Each year, about 1/3 of all food produced for human consumption is lost or wasted (1.3 billion tons)
- FLW is the decrease in quantity or quality of food along the food supply chain
- FLW results from poorly functioning agrifood systems





• FLW has implications for food security, economy and environment



828 million undernourished people **3.1 billion** without access to healthy diets



Water footprint of 250km3 (3x Genova lake)



28 % of the world's agricultural area used to produce food that is lost or wasted



8 to 10% of global greenhouse gas emissions

A hierarchical approach for food loss and waste



12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION

Target 12.3 By 2030, halve per capita global food waste and reduce food loss

FAO Voluntary Code of Conduct (CoC) to reduce FLW

Article 4.8 encourages governments to structure and implement public policy frameworks to ensure safety and quality of reused and/or recycled by-products and inedible parts of the food supply chain. If that surplus cannot be reused or redirected, waste disposal procedure should also be explicit.

What about antimicrobial resistance in FLW?

AMR in the food chain and in food waste?



AMR in Food waste

• FW is an excellent substrate for bacterial growth.



Examples:

- Antimicrobial resistant bacteria: food wastes from meat slaughterhouses, dairy and restaurants. More than 50% of all the strains were resistant to Vancomycin, Neomycin and Methicilin, which belong to third-generation antibiotics (Yashwant 2022). 11% of isolates from dairy waste and 8% from meat waste were resistant to about 7 out of 9 antibiotics (Periasamy *et al.*, 2023)
- ARGs: In the US, a study showed that food waste collected from school, hospitals, restaurants or households contained ARGs. The tet(M) and blaTEM were present in 96% and 97% of samples respectively (Thakali et al., 2022).
- Few studies with ARGs abundances ranging from about 10³ copies/g to 10¹¹ copies/g before treatment (Costa *et al.*, 2023) (Liao *et al.*, 2019) (Lin *et al.*, 2022) (Fang et al. 2023)
- Food wastewater effluent ARGs ranged from 1.4 × 10⁸ to 5.1 × 10⁹ copies/mL (Jang *et al.*, 2020)
- ARGs found not only in FLW of products from animal origin

Compare to other types of waste



Food loss and waste is a neglected source of AMR

Food waste management processes



Comparison with other AMR contaminated wastes



ARGs enrichment in kitchen waste composting

Not possible to extrapolate data from other organic wastes to FLW





Composting process



Organic fertilizer



In UK- Municipal food compost 10 samples One showed complete resistance to all 6 antibiotics tested (lincomycin,tobramycin, minocycline,amoxycillin, ciprofloxacin, florfenicol).

No compost displayed complete antibiotic sensitivity. All have more than 2 resistances (Furukawa et al. 2018)

Data showed that compost samples are contaminating with ARGS and ARB.

Data showed that compost samples are contaminating with ARGS and ARB.

Organic wastes

Composting process





(Liao et al. 2019)

Organic fertilizer

Conventional composting might not be sufficient to reduce AMR risk



Pre-treatments

Biodrying + mature compost \downarrow Initial high temperature (60°c) \downarrow

Co-composting

optimize moisture and C/N ratio Various results

 $\uparrow \downarrow$

Microbial inoculants









A D

Composting parameters

- Temperature \downarrow
- Ventilation \downarrow
- Residence time
- Material stacking

Additives

- Biochar
- Nano zerovalent iron
- Zeolite

No data on food waste

↓ Decrease ARGs↑ increase ARGs



Composting parameters



Degradation rate of ARGs (%)

	Material movement	Material stacking	Ventilation	time (days)	Τ°C
SAC	static	vessel	pressure+ ventilation	45	+++
	static	pile	Pressure+ ventilation	50	++
	dynamic	pile	Natural ventilation	30	+
MC	dynamic	vessel	Pressure+ ventilation	15	+

Tetracycline	Sulfonamides	Quinolones	Macrolides	Total
99.9966	43.2817	99.2899	98.1428	99.9809
99.9981 个	-4543.6148	40.9158	^-365.7604	99.9375
99.9972 ↑	-8534.6921	-837.3011	-4425.4586	99.9700
99.9586	-5.3452	94.1729 1	-13.5479	99.6831

Vermicomposting and black soldier fly larvae

Vermicomsposting



https://www.ontario.ca/page/vermicasting-or-vermicomposting

Black Soldier Fly Larvae



https://www.thenationalnews.com/uae/science/meet-theblack-soldier-fly-the-new-weapon-in-the-war-against-foodwaste-1.761140

End Products



Parameters to optimize For better ARGs removal

Agricultural waste co-composting Enforced aeration Functional microbial inoculum-feeding



Modulating initial larvae density ARGs in larvae deserve better attention

Both processes give good results for ARGs removal. Possible optimizations

Anaerobic digestion (AD)

- In the AD process, organic matter is degraded anaerobically by a variety of microorganisms working in synchrony
- Several studies showed that digestate of AD contain AM residues, ARB and ARG
- ARGs :1 \times 10³ to 2.3 \times 10⁶ copies/g of digestate (Wolak *et al.*, 2023)
- ABR: bacterial species resistant to 11 antibiotics out of 12 (Sun *et al.*, 2020)
- Most parameters have been optimized to increase biogas yield. Trade-off with ARGs removal (Haffiez et al., 2022).



Anaerobic digestion (AD)- ARGs removal

Organic



Anaerobic digestion



Bio fertilizer



+ ENERGY (Electricity, fuel)

Liquid and solid fraction

Temperature

(55°C) \downarrow 86.6 % versus (35°C) \downarrow 31% Very depandent of the feedstock; on manure thermophilic conditions could favor both pathogens and HGT.

Co-digestion with sludge, manure or straw Anitbiotic residues in sludge and manure could impact AD and ARGs removal

Two stages (acidogenic- methanogenic) \downarrow

Additives

Nanoscale zero valent iron (nZVI) \downarrow Activated Carbon $\downarrow \uparrow$

Pre-treatments

Data on Sludge Ozone \downarrow (13 to 25%) Alkali \downarrow (13 to 25%) Free nitrous acid \downarrow (up to 74%)

Enzymatic treatment \downarrow (up to 25%) Fungal mash \downarrow (up to 94%) except $\uparrow bla_{\text{TEM}}$ (19%)

Composting (AF) versus anaerobic digestion (AcoD)



Both aerobic and anaerobic biotreatment could increase relative abundance of FW's ARGs, and the discharge of ARGs from treated FW posing potential threats to receiving environments.

Biotransformation processes and AMR spreading



FOOD

Food waste



With other organic wastes

Other food waste management processes

Conversion of food waste for pet food and animal feed

- Raw food
- Rendering (feed ingredients)
- Fish silage
- ..

For bacterial biomass production

• Food waste use as substrate for bacterial growth

Lack of specific data on AMR Various heat/pressure treatments should kill bacteria and remove ARGs Regulation on animal by products (i.e.: EU)



https://www.farmersweekly.co.za/







https://www.cam.ac.uk/research/news/

Landfills and open dump

- **Good conditions for bacterial growth**: FW represents an important part of municipal solid waste landfills from 20 up to 65% of the overall waste found in municipal landfills (Pham *et al.*, 2015) (Ding *et al.*, 2021).
- **Co-selection and co-resistance**: FW could be mixed with multiple chemicals and biological substances that are well known to favor selection and HGT of ARGs: heavy metals, microplastics, antiseptic/antimicrobials. Co-selection and co-resistance
- Antibiotic concentration in leachate-borne antibiotics could be found at the μg/L level, in the MSW landfills in China (Wu *et al.*, 2022a)(Anand *et al.*, 2021), in Italy (He *et al.*, 2021)
- Type of landfills: Older landfill more prone to leachate ARGs around 5.5-6.8 × 10⁵copies/μL leachate, in Italy banned antibiotic still present (He *et al.*, 2021).
- FW in landfill contributes to greenhouse gas emissions. Climate change could exacerbate the AMR threat.



Landfills-routes of AMR spreading



Gaps 0

- Lack of data of FLW/AMR compare to other organic wastes (manure, sludge)
- Evaluation and optimization of FLW biotransformation processes to reduce ARGs
- Tracking AMR from bio-transformed products to soil, plant, animals up to humans (risk assessment)

Limitations

- Food loos/waste sources vary considerably
- Biotransformation processes are also very variables (pre-treatment, mono or co composting/AD, volumes, time, parameters)- Biotransformation processes are performed at local, individual on small volume (uncontrolled) up to industrial levels.
- Methods to measure and report ARGs and ARB could also vary from one study to another

Conclusions- discussion

- Food loss and waste is a neglected source of AMR
- Biotransformation processes might not lead to sufficient ARGs removal
- There is a potential risk associated with the use of by-product from FLW
- More research on AMR fate in FLW is needed
- Optimization of food waste management processes to remove ARB/ARGs
- Protocol validation
- Inform on best practices
- The best prevention remains
 - Reduction of food loss and waste
 - Reduce antimicrobial use







Thank you for your attention

